§§ 1692 et seq. Plaintiff seeks to recover statutory damages, actual damages, costs and attorney's fees.

22

23

24

25

II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this Court, over this action and the parties herein, arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) (FDCPA), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Venue

1		lies in the Phoenix Division of the District of Arizona as Plaintiff's
2		claims arose from acts of the Defendant perpetrated therein.
3		III. PARTIES
4	3.	Plaintiff Lawrence L. Gilgen is a resident of Maricopa County, Ari-
5		zona.
6	4.	Mr. Gilgen is a natural person who is allegedly obligated to pay a debt
7		which was incurred for personal, family, or household purposes.
8	5.	Mr. Gilgen is a "consumer" as that term is defined by FDCPA §
9		1692a(3).
10	6.	Defendant Utah Billing & Recovery, LLC ("Utah Billing") is a foreign
11		limited liability company.
12	7.	Utah Billing collects or attempts to collect debts owed or asserted to be
13		owed or due another, or debts which it claims to have purchased or
14		been assigned after default.
15	8.	Utah Billing is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by FDCPA §
16		1692a(6).
17		IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
18	9.	In January or February 2015, Mr. Gilgen went to Valley King Realty in
19		order to rent a home for his family.
20	10.	Valley King pulled a credit report on Mr. Gilgen and told him that he
21		would not qualify to rent a home due to information on his credit report
22		which was being reported by Utah Billing.
23	11.	Plaintiff learned that Utah Billing was reporting two collection ac-
24		counts on his credit report; one in the amount of \$1,019 ("First Ac-
25		count"), and one in the amount of \$5,285 ("Second Account").

12. Mr. Gilgen immediately called Utah Billing concerning the two ac-1 2 counts, and spoke to one of its collectors. 13. The collector told Mr. Gilgen that he owed a total of \$6,400. 3 4 14. Mr. Gilgen told the collector that he knew he owed something on the 5 First Account, but disputed owing the Second Account. 15. The collector then transferred the call to Roger, another collector for 6 7 Utah Billing. While on the phone with Mr. Gilgen, Roger reviewed Utah Billing's 16. 8 9 records and ultimately agreed that Mr. Gilgen did not owe the Second 10 Account. 11 17. Roger also told Mr. Gilgen that Utah Billing should not have reported the Second Account to the credit bureaus. 12 18. During this conversation, it was learned that the Second Account 13 belonged to Mr. Gilgen's step-brother, but it had been reported with 14 15 Mr. Gilgen's social security number. 19. Roger explained that Utah Billing regularly updated accounts to the 16 credit bureaus on the 17th of the month, and told Mr. Gilgen that it 17 would request removal of the Second Account at that time. 18 20. 19 Mr. Gilgen asked Roger to immediately request that the credit bureaus remove the Second Account, explaining that he was trying to rent a 20 home for his family. 21 21. Roger, however, attempted to coerce Mr. Gilgen into immediately 22 paying the First Account by telling him that Utah Billing would require 23 that it be paid first, before Utah Billing would agree to request an 24

immediate removal of the Second Account.

25

1	22.	Mr. Gilgen then told Roger that he would only pay the First Account
2		after Utah Billing had removed the Second Account from the credit
3		bureaus.
4	23.	As of March 4, 2015, the Second Account is still reporting on Mr.
5		Gilgen's Experian credit report.
6	24.	Utah Billing has failed to send Mr. Gilgen, within five days of their
7		initial communication, a written communication concerning the Second
8		Account informing Mr. Gilgen of his verification / validation rights
9		under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).
10	25.	Utah Billing reported the Second Account to Experian to assist it in
11		attempting to collect a debt.
12	26.	Upon information and belief, Utah Billing provided false information
13		to the credit bureaus, including an incorrect name, address, Social
14		Security Number and / or date of birth, which caused the Second
15		Account to appear on Mr. Gilgen's credit report.
16	27.	As a result of Defendant's actions as outlined above, Mr. Gilgen has
17		suffered damages including, but not limited to, economic loss, loss of
18		credit opportunities, embarrassment, humiliation, and other extreme
19		emotional distress.
20	28.	Defendant's actions as outlined above were intentional, willful, and in
21		gross or reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights and part of Defendant's
22		persistent and routine practice of debt collection.
23	29.	In the alternative, Defendant's actions were negligent.
24		V. CAUSES OF ACTION
25		Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

1	30.	Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing
2		paragraphs.
3	31.	Defendant's violations of the FDCPA include, but are not necessarily
4		limited to, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(5), 1692e(8),
5		1692e(10), 1692e(11), 1692f, 1692f(1), and 1692g
6	32.	As a direct result and proximate cause of Defendant's actions in viola-
7		tion of the FDCPA, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages.
8		VI. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
9		Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.
10		VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF
11		WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that judgment be entered against
12	Defe	ndant for:
13		a) Actual damages to be determined by the jury;
14		b) Statutory damages;
15		c) Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and
16		d) Such other relief as may be just and proper.
17		
18		DATED <u>March 5, 2015</u> .
19		
20		s/ Floyd W. Bybee Floyd W. Bybee, #012651
21		BYBEE LAW CENTER, PLC 90 S. Kyrene Rd., Ste. 5
22		Chandler, AZ 85226-4687
23		Office: (480) 756-8822 Fax: (480) 302-4186 floyd@bybeelaw.com
24		Attorney for Plaintiff
25		Audiliey for realiting